BERYL FERNANDES COMMENTS

1. CTTAB TITLE

I do not believe CTTAB has the authority to alter the original intent of the legislation, which was adopted by the Mayor and Council. I do not support changing the title from CTTAB to SeaTAB as proposed in the attached Draft.  While I agree with the decision to drop “citizen”, I recommend replacing it with a word as close to citizen as possible e.g. “Community”, which reflects the Mayor and Council’s original intent for establishing this Board. It also allows for retention of the acronym CTTAB and what it stands for as a community-based program, by now a well-recognized name in our community. Underscoring this rationale is David Keyes’ title, which reflects the original intent of the Municipal Code: "Community Technology Program Manager".

2. YOUNG ADULT REPRESENTATION

In the spirit of "Inclusion", I recommend that Young Adult representation come from the many excellent young adult programs in the City rather than only the Get Engaged YMCA program. Get Engaged has submitted three candidates from a remarkably narrow demographic band, and no representation from low income or communities of color this year. There is no explanation for why Get Engaged should be the only organization from which to draw young adults and why other excellent leadership programs, especially those run by communities of color and immigrants are excluded.

Since the Muni Code allows for 1 member through this provision, it is not clear why and how we have 3 members affiliated through Get Engaged rather than just one. Also, since their tenure is prescribed in the Code for 1 year, we should adhere to that provision and allow others to circulate through this Board.

I recommend that young adults be screened as part of the regular selection process for CTTAB members, making the selection process more transparent, while allowing the selection criteria to be tailored for young adults. It also does not waste Council time with two separate hearings.

4. STAFF SUPPORT AND “SECRETARY”

The existing Muni Code and Board Rules direct DOIT to designate the secretary from its own staff. I recommend we retain the original language rather than change it as proposed. The original language I recommend retaining reads: “Board Support Staff shall provide written meeting minutes for Board review and approval…” This provision must not only be retained, but emphasized, in order to avoid past occurrences of DOIT program managers and CTTAB Board Chair demanding that a particular Board member assume the role of CTTAB secretary, take notes at each meeting, and produce the minutes.

**BEN KROKOWER COMMENTS**

My feedback:

1) SeaTAB - I like the new name.  Easier to say, and the community aspect of the board is implicit in our works, and explicit in our charter.

2) Interim Appointments - It would be really great if there was some kind of method of appointing interim board members while we wait for action from the council or mayor.  At the very least, a clause that states that if a current board member if awaiting renewal or their term has expired, then they have full voting rights on the board until the council either renews their term or appoints a replacement.  I don't know if that's done or possible, but given the difficulties we've had in getting timely appointments, it seems like a good idea to do something to this effect.

3) Hiatus - Any reason why the hiatus can't be 4 years instead of 7?

**DANIEL HOANG COMMENTS**

1. Agree on SeaTAB because the scope of our advisory service includes all Seattle related technology issues including City issues and community issues.

3. Do we need a hiatus clause at all? If a board member is providing valuable services, and as long as they are being reconfirmed by Council or Mayor, I don't think there should be term limits.

**STACEY WEDLAKE COMMENTS**

Beryl,

Thanks for compiling all of our comments.

1. I like SeaTAB. Whenever I talk about CTTAB, I have to say, "City of Seattle's Citizens'..." The new name describes us in much fewer words.
2. +1 for Ben's idea: " clause that states that if a current board member if awaiting renewal or their term has expired, then they have full voting rights on the board until the council either renews their term or appoints a replacement."
3. I think a hiatus is a good thing. It helps keep getting new and diverse voices on the board. Members are welcome to continue to serve on committees after the two terms expires (as some do). However, a 4 year hiatus seems more fitting - 4 years on, 4 years off.
4. We should continue with the Get Engaged affiliation. Any young adult between the ages of 18-29 can apply for the Get Engaged position. It is my understanding the Y simply coordinates the program and provides training for get engaged participants. By being part of the program, it also builds awareness of CTTAB to young adults in the city. There is no reason that we cannot reach out to young adult programs and encourage those participants to apply for the Get Engaged or a full board member position.

There's my 2 cents.